



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/005,202	12/04/2001	Keith D. Allen	R-902	6809
7590 01/18/2005			EXAMINER	
DELTAGEN, INC			WILSON, MICHAEL C	
1031 Bing Street San Carlos, CA 94070-5320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/005, 202	ALLEN, KEITH D.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael C. Wilson	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee refee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	•	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: the increased scope of proposed claim 1	• "-				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been consections	sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bel)∏ will be entered and an ow or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:		•			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7 and 9-24</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•				
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. ☐ Other:		•			
	MICHAEL WILS PRIMARY EXAM	ON INER WM/			
		••••			



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: they are based on the proposed claims which have not been entered..